1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE 8 GRACE BURROWS, et al., Case No. C19-1649 9 Plaintiffs, 10 AMENDED¹ ORDER **GRANTING** v. 11 **DEFENDANT'S MOTION** 3M COMPANY, 12 FOR EXTENSION OF TIME Defendant. 13 14 This matter comes before the Court on defendant's Motion for Extension of Time (Dkt. 15 # 103) and defendant's Motion to Expedite Consideration (Dkt. # 102). The Court considered 16 17 defendant's motions and all other pleadings filed in opposition and/or support. The Court finds that defendant has shown good cause for both an extension of time and for the Court to consider 18 defendant's motion to set over on an expedited basis. 19 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: 20 21 1. Defendant's Motion to Expedite Consideration (Dkt. # 102) is GRANTED; 2. Defendant's Motion for Extension of Time (Dkt. # 103) is GRANTED. Defendant's 22 opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions (Dkt. #97) shall be filed on or before 23 December 5, 2022. Plaintiff's reply shall be filed on or before December 9, 2022, at 24 which time the motion will be taken under advisement. 25 26

ORDER GRANTINGD EFENDANT'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME - 1

27

28

¹ This order has been amended to reflect the correct adjusted deadlines. Defendant's opposition is due on or before December 5, 2022, and plaintiff's reply is due on or before December 9, 2022. The previous order incorrectly listed the year as 2023.

DATED this 23rd day of November, 2022.

MMS Casnik
Robert S. Lasnik
United States District Judge

ORDER GRANTINGD EFENDANT'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME - 2